Construction of Markets in Chittaranjan Park, New Delhi

4421. SHRIMATI CHANDRA KALA PANDEY:
SHRI NILOTPAL BASU:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer to answer to Unstarred Question No. 3429 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 7th August, 1992 and state:

(a) whether a final decision has been taken in regard to the issue involved in construction of markets in Chittaranjan Park in New Delhi;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) the tentative schedule of its implementation; and

(d) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN AFFAIRS & EMPLOYMENT & MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (DR. U. VENKATESWARLU): (a) and (b) Yes, Sir. Action for identification of eligible squatters has been completed and NBCC was requested to formulate construction proposals. Discussions have also been held with the Association and the Association has been advised to indicate the financial arrangement for meeting the cost of construction, cost of land and also indicate by what time it would be in position to make available vacant possession of area to the executing agency.

(c) and (d) In the above background, it is difficult to fix any tentative schedule of implementation.

Violation of supreme court Directive regarding Hotel complex at Vasant Kunj

4422. SHRI S.M. KRISHNA: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that DDA has been accused by its Engineers of committing violation of Supreme Court's directive against construction activities for international hotel complex at Vasant Kunj;

(b) if so, the details thereof; and

(c) the action taken against the officers responsible for the contempt?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN AFFAIRS & EMPLOYMENT & MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (DR. U. VENKATESWARLU): (a) to (c) The Government is aware of the Hon'ble Supreme Court's order dated 13.9.96 about stoppage of construction/development activities in the proposed inter-national hotel complex at Vasant Kunj. The implications of the order were considered by the Government and necessary action for stoppage of any construction/development activities in this area has already been taken.

Executing Lease Deed/Conveyance deed in Ashok Vihar New Delhi

4423. SHRI GHUFRAN AZAM: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) the number of cases pending for executing lease deed/conveyance deeds in respect of double storey LIG Flats, Phase I, A Block, Ashok Vihar, New Delhi;

(b) by when these cases would be finalised and lease deeds/conveyance deeds executed.

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN AFFAIRS & EMPLOYMENT & MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (DR. U. VENKATESWARLU): (a) and (b) The DDA has reported that no conveyance deed/lease deed case of any LIG flat in Ph.-I 'A' Block Ashok Vihar is pending for execution wherein all required formalities have been completed. However, DDA has reported

that there are 9 cases which are pending due to non-fulfilment of required formalities the main reasons being non-payment of dues by the leasee and non-submission of all required documents.

12.00 Noon

STATEMENT BY MINISTER

Correcting the reply given in the Rajya Sabha on the 9th March, 1994 to the Unstarred Question 2402 regarding conviction under TADA in J & K

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OR URBAN AFFAIRS AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (DR. U. VENKATESWARLU): Sir, on behalf of my colleague, Shri S.R. Balasubramoniyan, I beg to lay on the Table a statement (in English and Hindi) correcting the reply given in the Rajya Sabha on the 9th March, 1994 to the Unstarred Question 2402 regarding conviction under TADA in Jammu and kashmir.

(Placed in Library *See* No. LT 2/43/97)

SHRI SATISH AGARWAL (*Rajasthan*): Sir, I have a point. How is the Minister laying on the Table a statement correcting an answer given in this House on 9th March, 1994? Does it not reflect on the efficiency of the department? Could they detect the mistake only three years after the answer was given? Has any responsibility been fixed on any officers for the mistake? He should explain it, Sir. (*Interruptions*).

SHRI MD. SALIM (West Bengal): Sir, that was a question relating to Jammu and Kashmir. At that time, it was the responsibility of the Union Government. In 1994, there was no elected Government there. The Home Ministry was responsible. The Prime Minister had a special cell for Jammu and Kashmir affairs. A special Minister was deputed for Jammu and Kashmir affairs. The question related to TADA detenus. Now, the TADA is no more there. This House has not allowed the Government to renew it. After more than three years, the Government is now coming and correcting the answer. Sometimes, some 'special interest quarters' give misleading information. They are quoting figures given by the Ministry. And after the correction, nobody bothers to go to the public and the whole misconception prevails. So, the Government should be careful while giving answers, particularly in respect of supplying information, statistics, figures, on this type of questions. And, if necessary, that should correct the answers immediately, not after three years. (*Interruptions*)

MR. CHAIRMAN: This is something for investigation.

DR. U. VENKATESWARLU: Mr. Chairman, Sir, we share the concern of the hon. Members. But when the answer was given to that question, it was given by the previous Government. And the correction that has been received is now being laid on the Table of the House, though belatedly. We certainly regret the delay, Sir. We will find out the reasons for the delay. (*Interruptions*)

SHRI NARENDRA MOHAN (Uttar Pradesh): Sir, will the inform the House about the reasons for the delay?

MR. CHAIRMAN: He will find out the reasons...(*Interruptions*)

SHRI SATISH AGARWAL: May I make this request, Sir? While permitting Ministers to correct answers given earlier in the House, you may ask for an explanation regarding fixing of responsibility on those persons who gave the wrong information at that time.

MR. CHAIRMAN: The Minister has agreed to find out the reasons for the delay. (*Interruptions*).

SHRIMATI JAYANTHI NATARAJAN (Tamil Nadu): Sir, please let us ask the Prime Minister about the Women's Bill.